## FlexDNN: Input-Adaptive On-Device Deep Learning for Efficient Mobile Vision

**ACM/IEEE Symposium on Edge Computing (SEC)** 

Biyi Fang, Xiao Zeng, Faen Zhang, Hui Xu and Mi Zhang

#### Mobile Vision Systems are Revolutionizing Our Lives Now



**Smartphones** 



**AR/VR Headset** 



**Drones** 



**Robots** 

## Challenge

• Challenge: Each application (DNN) is resource demanding.



- A typical image recognition DNN designed for server/cloud takes up to hundreds of milliseconds to compute in mobile devices.
- This is unacceptable for video processing pipeline that requires high frame rate.

## **Typical Solutions**

- Model Compression Techniques
  - Quantization, Pruning, Knowledge Distillation, Efficient Convolution Block.
- Do not Take Advantage of the Dynamics of Mobile Video Inputs.
  - Not all images are created equal.
  - Some images are 'easy' and some are 'hard' to recognize.
- FlexDNN Leverages these Dynamics to further reduce resource demand.
  - Complementary technique to model compression technique.

## **Dynamics of Mobile Video Inputs**

Videos taken in real-world mobile settings show substantial dynamics in terms of difficulty level across frames over time.

Relatively easier to be recognized as biking activity Require less complex model



#### **Pilot Study:** Dynamics of Resource Demand

- Ten model variants with different complexities for a 400-frame video.
- Model with lowest complexity that correctly recognizes the activity (Best Model).
- Compare to the model that correctly recognizes all the frames (One-Fit-All Model).



- Best Model changes frequently.
- The difference area between curves indicate considerable resource demand that can be reduced.

## Pilot Study: Quantify the **Benefit** of Leveraging the Dynamics

- Quantify the benefit in average CPU processing time of each frame (Samsung S8).
- Compare One-Fit-All Model and Best Model.
- In reality, model switching causes extra overhead.



- We can reduce resource demand in terms of inference time by 42.8%.
- Parameter loading and model initialization time take away the benefit by 21.8% and 11.6%.
- Actual gain is only 9.4%

#### Input-Adaptative On-Device Deep Learning

• No model switching overhead (Ideal).



#### State-of-the-art Input-Adaptive Works

• BranchyNet

[Teerapittayanon et al. ICPR'16]



Insert early exit branches into a backbone model and hence is not limited to certain types of model. FlexDNN follows this line of input-adaptive works.

## Early Exit Technique

- Early exit is a classifier with convolutional layer(s) and linear layer(s) that are inserted at the early layers of a backbone DNN.
- Able to identify and exit easy inputs without causing further computation.
- In doing so, the average computational consumption can be lower than the backbone DNN without inserting any early exit.



#### Drawback (BranchyNet)

- The way BranchyNet design their early exit branches brings two drawbacks:
  - Early exit itself consumes computation. Without careful design, it leads to suboptimal performance of the input-adaptive model.
  - Inserting larger amount of early exit will make the model less efficient by latency cumulation.



#### **Overview of FlexDNN**

• A novel input-adaptive framework that enables computation-efficient DNNbased on-device DL based on early exit mechanism.

 As an overview, FlexDNN is a technique that inserts early exits with optimal architecture at optimal locations of a backbone DNN.



#### **FlexDNN** Input-Adaptive Trainer

- Component #1: Optimal Early Exit Architecture Search
- Component #2: Early Exit Insertion Plan



#### **#1 Optimal Early Exit Architecture Search**

- Motivation: early exits consume overhead. Hence, a lightweight early exit is preferred. However, an extremely lightweight early exit could exit much less easy frames, which diminishes the benefit of early exit.
- FlexDNN inserts over-parameterized early exit branches at each possible location and prune the filters and layers until the accuracy of the early exit starts to drop.
- As a result, the architecture of each inserted early exit achieves optimal trade-off between early exit rate and computational overhead.



#### **#2 Early Exit Insertion Plan**

- Motivation: by far early exits have been inserted at each possible location throughout the DNN model and hence accumulate immense overhead altogether.
- FlexDNN adopts a systematic approach to derive an optimal insertion plan of early exits.
- We prune the most inefficient early exits.



#### #2 Early Exit Insertion Plan

- To identify the most inefficient early exits, we define a metric *R* that quantifies the quality of the trade-off between early exit rate and computational overhead of a particular early exit.
- We remove early exits whose *R* values are less than or equal to 1.

$$R_{j} = G_{j}/C_{j} \qquad C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (1 - cr_{i}) * CE_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames cannot exit before this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) * CB_{j}}_{\text{Number of frames successfully exit at this exit}} C_{j} = \underbrace{N * (cr_{j} - cr_{i}) *$$

Base Model Laver Cr Cumulative Exit Rate

#### **Evaluation**

- Evaluation is on UCF-101 derived dataset.
- Backbone: VGG-16 and Inception-V3.
- Experiments are conducted on Samsung S8.



#### **Evaluation: Compared to BranchyNet**

- Baselines: 1) BranchyNet; 2) Input-Agnostic-Lossless; 3) Input-Agnostic-Lossy
- Results: Compared to BranchyNet, FlexDNN reduces 28.4% and 49.3% on VGG and Inception-V3, respectively.



#### **Contribution of FlexDNN**

- An input-adaptive framework for computation-efficient DNN-based mobile video stream analytics that achieves better performance compared to state-of-the-art counterparts.
- FlexDNN addresses the limitations of existing solutions and pushes the state-of-the-art forward through the approach for generating the optimal architecture based on early exits for input adaptation.
- We experimentally demonstrate the effectiveness of input-adaptive for ondevice DL.

# **Thank You**

Biyi Fang fangbiyi@msu.edu Mi Zhang mizhang@egr.msu.edu